how to aim for delight and diversion?

jussi karlgren

what is funny?

can we identify what is funny reliably?
can we build a generative something that is funny?

it is difficult to be funny

"Analyzing humor is like dissecting a frog. Few people are interested and the frog dies of it."

prototypically, overt expressions of mirth, e.g. laughter, indicates that something funny just has happened

prototypically, overt expressions of mirth, e.g. laughter, indicates that something funny just has happened

but

only about 20-30% of laughter events in recorded material are responses to humorous utterances or acts

note:
humour comprehension (is this funny) is not the same as humor appreciation (does it make me laugh)

repeated display of cartoons reduces

- funniness rating
- displayed mirth

(again, a point in favour of the unexpected)

subjects were asked to listen to a somewhat funny comedy routine and rate its funniness.

some were told that a lab environment acts as a damper on mirth.

subjects were asked to listen to a somewhat funny comedy routine and rate its funniness.

some were told that a lab environment acts as a damper on mirth.

those indeed showed less mirth than the control group

subjects were asked to listen to a somewhat funny comedy routine and rate its funniness.

some were told that a lab environment acts as a damper on mirth.

those indeed showed less mirth than the control group but they rated the results to be funnier

environment influences assessment of funniness: a joke is considered funnier if it evokes mirth in an inappropriate context

funniness has many facets

- unexpected turns in events
- ambiguity (and its resolution)
- anomalies and incongruity (and its resolution)
- absurdity and nonsense
- sexuality and other boundary pushing topics
- aggressiveness, bullying, and Schadenfreude
- potential offensiveness

funniness has many facets

- unexpected turns in events
- ambiguity (and its resolution)
- anomalies and incongruity (and its resolution)
- absurdity and nonsense
- sexuality and other boundary pushing topics
- aggressiveness, bullying, and Schadenfreude
- potential offensiveness

in general, a breach of expectation in some way
many studies have been conducted for this sort of thing

some of those things ought to be identifiable and emulable?

breaking conventions

conventions are bound in time, formed in a cultural context, and realised in language and behaviour

breaking conventions

conventions are bound in time, formed in a cultural context, and realised in language and behaviour

not entirely orthogonal to topic

breaking conventions

conventions are bound in time, formed in a cultural context, and realised in language and behaviour

not entirely orthogonal to topic

how are those convention breaches expressed and signaled?

my interest in humour

(besides knowing that it is difficult to be funny)

- i study (among other things) stylistic difference in human language
- the difference between several ways of saying the same thing
- quantitatively, mostly
- recently i have worked on retrieval of recorded speech
- now i work with quality metrics for generative language models
- i wonder if the notion of humour appreciation can be linked to the content and form of the (potentially) humorous expression
- especially with respect to stylistic variation

idiosyncratic
situational

idiosyncratic
situational
dialectal
sociolectal

idiosyncratic
situational
dialectal
sociolectal
conventional but not rule-bound

```
idiosyncratic
situational
dialectal
sociolectal
conventional but not rule-bound
consistent stylistic variation conditioned for context is
the path to genre
humour is a genre (or, actually, several genres)
```

style is part of the message

different ways of saying the same thing appeal variously to the intended or unintended audience

humour is strongly affected by this!

"i know it's a joke but it's not funny"

Rice is great if you're really hungry and want to eat two thousand of something.

information access systems

are built and optimised and evaluated

for topical task fulfilment

not for enjoyment and momentary diversion

how might use cases for humour influence system design (and evaluation)?



topical focus has ramifications

• removing noise means taking out stylistic variation

topical focus has ramifications

- removing noise means taking out stylistic variation
- gold standards to measure linguistic performance are strongly biased towards referential semantics (i.e. nouns)

topical focus has ramifications

- removing noise means taking out stylistic variation
- gold standards to measure linguistic performance are strongly biased towards referential semantics (i.e. nouns)
- washes out precisely the difference between funny and unfunny

My belt holds my pants up, but the belt loops hold my belt up. I don't really know what's happening down there. Who is the real hero?

• use cases very different from task-based systems

- use cases very different from task-based systems
- often low-effort
 - ∘ "entertain me"

- use cases very different from task-based systems
- often low-effort
 - "entertain me"
- often high recall
 - o more of this
 - search and exploration is part of entertainment

- use cases very different from task-based systems
- often low-effort
 - "entertain me"
- often high recall
 - o more of this
 - search and exploration is part of entertainment
- often requires high precision in user model
 - o moving the effort onto recommendation rather than search
 - recommender systems are mostly usage based ("social filtering")
 rather than content feature based

let's look at some use cases

"entertain me"

- one click query
- "for you" feed
- precision modelling of user
- hi recall feed
- low-ish precision is ok
- content and style features based on known history

how can we measure delight, happiness, and contentment here?

through one bit feedback?

explore and search

- exploration is part of the entertainment
- searching is not a threshold but a vehicle
- high recall
- low precision
- quite similar to "entertain me"
- content features broad but improving over session?
- style features -"-

how can we measure delight, happiness, and contentment here?

through listening history?

how about trying for content features?

known-item search

- frequently ill-informed queries
- humming is difficult
- "what's his name who played in that movie you know"
- something about aristocrats?
- high precision
- high robustness

known case, we can work on this using standard metrics

TREC Podcast Challenge

- Find 120s segments of podcast that are topically relevant to a query
- Also rate them for being "entertaining"
- Result: all over the place

Many Entertaining	66	how to handle failing a job interview: Any material on how a job interview fails or how a candidate was rejected due to the interview: tips, advice, or personal anecdotes and testimonials are all relevant.
Few Entertaining	85	If a rejection is not about the interview, even if the segment mentions an interview, it is not relevant. personality disorders: Discussions about any personality disorder are relevant. Passing claims that someone (e.g. a criminal, a celebrity, the speakers themselves) has a personality disorder without discussing the disorder itself are not.

the TREC task was intended to nudge participants into looking at audio

the TREC task was intended to nudge participants into looking at audio

they did not

funny voice is a humorous vehicle sends signal to process message differently localises and emphasises the funniness

funny voice is a humorous vehicle sends signal to process message differently localises and emphasises the funniness

lost in transcription

An escalator can never break: it can only become stairs. You should never see an Escalator Temporarily Out Of Order sign, just Escalator Temporarily Stairs. Sorry for the convenience.

it is difficult to understand what is funny

circling back to Joker lab

wordplay is a pointed version of anomalies or breaks from expectation which appeals variously to (some of) us

circling back to Joker lab

wordplay is a pointed version of anomalies or breaks from expectation which appeals variously to (some of) us

content search for fun is **hard** (humming is difficult) style might be a path forward

search for funniness use features related to form and presentation

(content search for fun is hard: cf. searching for poetry or for VHS tapes at a rental)

```
identify jokes by their form
in material across cultures (will they translate?)
identify subgenres by content and form
```

identify level of anomaly in jokes across cultures comparing similar topical utterances with humorous utterances

```
expand from wordplay to constructionplay
(the real linguist task)
```

I haven't slept for ten days, because that would be too long.

use audio features to pinpoint intended funniness compare narrative, argumentative, entertaining utterances across cultures